## 1-45-117. State and political subdivisions - limitations on contributions.

- (1) (a) (I) No agency, department, board, division, bureau, commission, or council of the state or any political subdivision of the state shall make any contribution in campaigns involving the nomination, retention, or election of any person to any public office, nor shall any such entity make any donation to any other person for the purpose of making an independent expenditure, nor shall any such entity expend any money from any source, or make any contributions, to urge electors to vote in favor of or against any:
- (A) Statewide ballot issue that has been submitted for the purpose of having a title designated and fixed pursuant to section 1-40-106 (1) or that has had a title designated and fixed pursuant to that section:
- (B) Local ballot issue that has been submitted for the purpose of having a title fixed pursuant to section 31-11-111 or that has had a title fixed pursuant to that section;
- (C) Referred measure, as defined in section 1-1-104 (34.5), passed by the general assembly or the governing body of any political subdivision of the state with authorization to refer matters to voters;
- (D) Recall measure for the recall of any officer that has been submitted for approval for circulation on an approved petition form.
- (II) However, a member or employee of any such agency, department, board, division, bureau, commission, or council may respond to questions about any such issue described in subparagraph (I) of this paragraph (a) if the member, employee, or public entity has not solicited the question. A member or employee of any such agency, department, board, division, bureau, commission, or council who has policy-making responsibilities may expend not more than fifty dollars of public moneys in the form of letters, telephone calls, or other activities incidental to expressing his or her opinion on any such issue described in subparagraph (I) of this paragraph (a).
- (b) (I) Nothing in this subsection (1) shall be construed as prohibiting an agency, department, board, division, bureau, commission, or council of the state, or any political subdivision thereof from expending public moneys or making contributions to dispense a factual summary, which shall include arguments both for and against the proposal, on any issue of official concern before the electorate in the jurisdiction. Such summary shall not contain a conclusion or opinion in favor of or against any particular issue. As used herein, an issue of official concern shall be limited to issues that will appear on an election ballot in the jurisdiction.
- (II) Nothing in this subsection (1) shall be construed to prevent an elected official from expressing a personal opinion on any issue.

© 2024 Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group. All rights reserved. Use of this product is subject to the restrictions and terms and conditions of the Matthew Bender Master Agreement.

- (III) Nothing in this subsection (1) shall be construed as prohibiting an agency, department, board, division, bureau, commission, or council of the state or any political subdivision thereof from:
- (A) Passing a resolution or taking a position of advocacy on any issue described in subparagraph (I) of paragraph (a) of this subsection (1); or
- (B) Reporting the passage of or distributing such resolution through established, customary means, other than paid advertising, by which information about other proceedings of such agency, department, board, division, bureau, or council of the state or any political subdivision thereof is regularly provided to the public.
- (C) Nothing in this subsection (1) shall be construed as prohibiting a member or an employee of an agency, department, board, division, bureau, commission, or council of the state or any political subdivision thereof from expending personal funds, making contributions, or using personal time to urge electors to vote in favor of or against any issue described in subparagraph (I) of paragraph (a) of this subsection (1).
  - (2) The provisions of subsection (1) of this section shall not apply to:
  - (a) An official residence furnished or paid for by the state or a political subdivision;
  - (b) Security officers who are required to accompany a candidate or the candidate's family;
- (c) Publicly owned motor vehicles provided for the use of the chief executive of the state or a political subdivision;
- (d) Publicly owned aircraft provided for the use of the chief executive of the state or of a political subdivision or the executive's family for security purposes; except that, if such use is, in whole or in part, for campaign purposes, the expenses relating to the campaign shall be reported and reimbursed pursuant to subsection (3) of this section.
- (3) If any candidate who is also an incumbent inadvertently or unavoidably makes any expenditure which involves campaign expenses and official expenses, such expenditures shall be deemed a campaign expense only, unless the candidate, not more than ten working days after the such expenditure, files with the appropriate officer such information as the secretary of state may by rule require in order to differentiate between campaign expenses and official expenses. Such information shall be set forth on a form provided by the appropriate officer. In the event that public moneys have been expended for campaign expenses and for official expenses, the candidate shall reimburse the state or political subdivision for the amount of money spent on campaign expenses.
- (4) (a) A violation of this section is subject to the provisions of section 10 (1) of article XXVIII of the state constitution, section 1-45-111.7, or any appropriate order or relief, including

an order directing the person making a contribution or expenditure in violation of this section to reimburse the fund of the state or political subdivision, as applicable, from which such money was diverted for the amount of the contribution or expenditure, injunctive relief, or a restraining order to enjoin the continuance of the violation.

(b) If a board, commission, or council is found to have made a contribution or expenditure in violation of this section, an individual member of the board, commission, or council who voted in favor of or otherwise authorized the contribution or expenditure may be ordered to reimburse an amount pursuant to subsection (4)(a) of this section as long as the amount does not exceed the amount ordered to be reimbursed by any other individual of the board, commission, or council who voted in favor or otherwise authorized the contribution or expenditure.

**Source:** Initiated 96: Entire article R&RE, effective upon proclamation of the Governor, January 15, 1997. L. 2002: (4) added, p. 280, § 1, effective August 7. L. 2008: (4) amended, p. 350, § 3, effective April 10. L. 2010: IP(1)(a)(I) amended, (SB 10-203), ch. 269, p. 1237, § 8, effective May 25. L. 2015: (4) amended, (HB 15-1074), ch. 89, p. 256, § 1, effective August 5. L. 2018: (4)(b) amended, (HB 18-1047), ch. 155, p. 1096, § 8, effective April 23. L. 2023: IP(1)(a)(I), (1)(a)(I)(C), (1)(a)(I)(D), and (4)(a) amended, (SB 23-276), ch. 399, p. 2397, § 52, effective June 6.

Editor's note: This section is similar to former § 1-45-116 as it existed prior to 1996.

**Cross references:** For the legislative declaration in the 2010 act amending the introductory portion to subsection (1)(a)(I), see section 1 of chapter 269, Session Laws of Colorado 2010.

## **ANNOTATION**

**Annotator's note.** Since § 1-45-117 is similar to § 1-45-116 as it existed prior to the 1997 repeal and reenactment of this article, relevant cases construing that provision have been included in the annotations to this section.

**The purpose of this section** is to prohibit the state government and its officials from spending public funds to influence the outcome of campaigns for political office or ballot issues. Colo. Common Cause v. Coffman, 85 P.3d 551 (Colo. App. 2003), aff'd, 102 P.3d 999 (Colo. 2004).

**This section must be strictly construed.** It is an established principle that statutes regarding the use of public funds to influence the outcome of elections are strictly construed. Coffman v. Colo. Common Cause, 102 P.3d 999 (Colo. 2004).

A contribution under § 2(5)(a)(IV) of article XXVIII of the state constitution and as incorporated by reference into § 1-45-103 (6)(a) requires that: (1) a thing of value (2) be given to a candidate, either directly or indirectly, (3) in order to promote the candidate's nomination, retention, recall, or election. Keim v. Douglas County Sch. Dist., 2017 CO 81, 397 P.3d 377.

Moneys in fund administered by the Colorado compensation insurance authority that consisted primarily of premiums paid into the fund by employers constituted "public moneys" for

© 2024 Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group. All rights reserved. Use of this product is subject to the restrictions and terms and conditions of the Matthew Bender Master Agreement.

purposes of this section. Denver Area Labor Fed'n v. Buckley, 924 P.2d 524 (Colo. 1996).

While the term "public moneys" is not defined, the all-inclusive language "from any source" indicates that the general assembly intended an expansive definition of the phrase. Thus, the term "public moneys" may not be construed to refer only to sums realized from the imposition of taxes. Denver Area Labor Fed'n v. Buckley, 924 P.2d 524 (Colo. 1996).

Although moneys collected by the political subdivision were not derived from state-imposed sales, use, property, or income taxes, those moneys may be spent by the political subdivision only for authorized public purposes. The general assembly has in essence declared that the expenditure of moneys in the fund for purposes prohibited by this section are not authorized expenditures for public purposes. Denver Area Labor Fed'n v. Buckley, 924 P.2d 524 (Colo. 1996).

This section prohibits the use of "public moneys from any source," not the use of "public funds". The general assembly thus selected a phrase not previously construed in seeking to limit the expenditure of funds by various governmental entities for certain purposes. Denver Area Labor Fed'n v. Buckley, 924 P.2d 524 (Colo. 1996).

This section tends to promote public confidence in government by prohibiting the use of moneys authorized for expenditure by political subdivisions for specified public purposes to advance the personal viewpoint of one group over another. A political subdivision's use of moneys that were authorized for expenditure for the benefit of an insured to oppose the passage of an amendment proposed by an insured is the type of conduct the general assembly intended to prohibit by the enactment of this section. Denver Area Labor Fed'n v. Buckley, 924 P.2d 524 (Colo. 1996).

Subsection (4), and not § 10(1) of article XXVIII of state constitution, provides basis for sanctions against special district that allegedly violated subsection (1)(b)(l) by urging voters to support ballot issue. Plaintiff's sole argument to ALJ was that special district violated subsection (1)(b)(l) by urging voters to support ballot issue. Plaintiff made no argument that expenditure violated a contribution or spending limit nor did plaintiff make any other argument concerning the amount district spent. Sherritt v. Rocky Mtn. Fire Dist., 205 P.3d 544 (Colo. App. 2009).

No abuse of discretion by administrative law judge (ALJ) in refusing to sanction special district at higher amount requested by plaintiff. Under subsection (4), ALJ had discretion to determine "any appropriate order or relief". In sanctioning district, ALJ cited district's attempt to comply with the law and the absence of prior violations. ALJ found that public funds would be used to satisfy the penalty and, therefore, a large fine would compound the problem. In exercising his or her discretion, ALJ properly considered needs of the public. Additionally, ALJ's findings have record support and were neither arbitrary, capricious, unsupported by the evidence, nor contrary to law. Sherritt v. Rocky Mtn. Fire Dist., 205 P.3d 544 (Colo. App. 2009).

What is of "official concern" to school district board of education is to be determined by reference to the official powers and duties delegated by the general assembly in the school laws. Mtn. States Legal Found. v. Denver Sch. Dist. No. 1, 459 F. Supp. 357 (D. Colo. 1978).

A matter of official concern is one which at the very least involves questions which come before the officials for an official decision. Campbell v. Joint Dist. 28-J, 704 F.2d 501 (10th Cir. 1983).

**Proposed constitutional amendment not of official concern.** A proposed amendment to the state constitution on a general election ballot is not a matter of official concern. Campbell v. Joint Dist. 28-J, 704 F.2d 501 (10th Cir. 1983).

Not determined solely by board. The characterization of a campaign issue as being of "official

© 2024 Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group. All rights reserved. Use of this product is subject to the restrictions and terms and conditions of the Matthew Bender Master Agreement.

concern" is not a judgment which can be made solely by the board of education; such an interpretation of this section would give unlimited discretion to the school board to use school funds and school facilities whenever it suited the personal preference of the majority of the members. Mtn. States Legal Found. v. Denver Sch. Dist. No. 1, 459 F. Supp. 357 (D. Colo. 1978).

This section allows an employee with policy-making responsibility to expend public funds up to the \$50 limit in expressing an opinion about a pending ballot issue. Regents of the Univ. of Colo. v. Meyer, 899 P.2d 316 (Colo. App. 1995).

Paid staff time is a contribution in kind for purposes of this section. Time spent by the state treasurer's staff during work hours on a non-volunteer basis preparing and disseminating press releases expressing the state treasurer's opposition to a statewide ballot issue therefore violated this section to the extent that the value of that time exceeded \$50. Coffman v. Colo. Common Cause, 102 P.3d 999 (Colo. 2004).

State treasurer's press conference and press releases opposing a statewide ballot issue violated this section. The press releases were not balanced factual summaries of the ballot issue and were not resolutions because they were not formal expressions of a voting body. The state treasurer expended more than \$50 in preparing the press releases and was not permitted to expend more than that to take a position of advocacy. Colo. Common Cause v. Coffman, 85 P.3d 551 (Colo. App. 2003), aff'd, 102 P.3d 999 (Colo. 2004).

Public school payroll deduction system for teachers' union dues, a portion of which was given by the union to a political action committee, did not constitute a "contribution in kind" because it did not support a specific "issue" or "candidate" that the political action committee supported or opposed during the time that the district made the payroll deductions. Mtn. States v. Secretary of State, 946 P.2d 586 (Colo. App. 1997) (decided under law in effect prior to 1997 amendment).

Brochure mailed by metropolitan districts explaining proposed improvements violated this section. The brochure, when read in its entirety, did not present arguments for and against the issue. In fact, it took a position exclusively in favor of the issue, presented no contrary arguments, and expressly advocated the passage of the bond initiative that was titled only days after the mailing of the brochure. Thus, it urged voters to vote for the initiative. Skruch v. Highlands Ranch Metro. Dists., 107 P.3d 1140 (Colo. App. 2004).

Although brochure did not mention ballot initiative by name, administrative law judge appropriately concluded that the language of this section does not require that level of specificity. The section prohibits "the urging of electors to vote a certain way." Skruch v. Highlands Ranch Metro. Dists., 107 P.3d 1140 (Colo. App. 2004).

School district did not make a prohibited contribution to a campaign under § 2(5)(a)(IV) of article XXVIII and subsection (1)(a)(I) of this section. A research report from a national think tank supportive of a school district's educational reform efforts that the district commissioned and paid for with public funds constitutes a "thing of value" for purposes of the definition of "contribution". The district did not make a prohibited contribution to a campaign, however, when it broadly disseminated an email of the report to county residents. Something of value is not given to a candidate when it is publicly distributed, even if the candidate happens to be among the public to which the thing of value has been made available. Keim v. Douglas County Sch. Dist., 2017 CO 81, 397 P.3d 377.